

## **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INSTRE-INSPECTION (F		, , <del></del>		
AIRS ID#: 0110011 DATE: 3/16/11	ARRIVE: <u>130</u>	DEPART: <u>400</u>		
FACILITY NAME: CEMEX-S FT LAUDERI	DALE READY-MIX			
FACILITY LOCATION: 29 SW 33RD S	ST			
FORT LAUDE	ERDALE 33315			
	M P	HONE: (561)820-8415 <b>fobile:</b> (561)718-7564 <b>HONE:</b> (954)523-6582 <b>fobile:</b>		
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEET  1. Name(s) of facility representative(s):  Brief Notes:	<u>FING</u>	(check ☑ only one box for each question)		
2. Is the Authorized Representative still JEFFRI If no, who is?:	EY PORTER?			
If different, did the facility provide an admini 3. Is the facility contact still J OTANO? If no, who is?:	istrative update within 30 days?	Yes		
Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during If yes, was the compliance authority notified				

#### **Emissions Unit Section** 1 - Cement Silo Compartment No. 1 with Dust Collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

1 – Cement Sno Compartment No. 1 with Dust Conector subject to Reasonable 116	<u>ccautions</u>	
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
Date of last inspection: 9/7/2010     Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.  Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check <b>b</b>	only one question)
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by:</li> </ol>	ned	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	X Yes	□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	⊠ Yes	<ul><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li></ul>
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🛚 Yes	□ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No

## Emissions Unit Section 2 - Cement Silo Compartment No. 2 with Dust Collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

2 - Cement Sno Compartment No. 2 with Dust Conector subject to Reasonable Pr	ecautions	
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check <b>☑</b> box for each o	only one question)
Date of last inspection: 9/7/10     Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		No No No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.  Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconf emissions by:	ined	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	X Yes	□ No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?		<ul><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li></ul>
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No
If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:     a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?     b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?		□ No

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

## Emissions Unit Section 3 –Flyash/slag silo Compartment No. 1 with Dust Collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PA	ART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	
	Date of last inspection: 9/7/10  Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	No No No
PA	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check 🗹	only one
Ur	nconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Onveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	box for each	•
1.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by:	ned	
	<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?</li> </ul>	X Yes	□ No
	3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	_	□ No
	4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	X Yes	☐ No
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No

# Emissions Unit Section 4 –Flyash/slag silo Compartment No. 2 with Dust Collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check <b>v</b> box for each	
Date of last inspection: 9/7/10     Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? \[ \Delta \text{N/A} \]  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	🔲 Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.  Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards  1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by:	ned	
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the <ol> <li>paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?</li> <li>removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?</li> <li>reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	-	<ul><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li></ul>
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	□ No □ No

## Emissions Unit Section <u>5 -Weight Hopper & Concrete Mixer Loadout subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PART I: FILE R	EVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check <b>✓</b> box for each	only one question)
If not: a. Did to b. If test	ns unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? ed: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Tyes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD	OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check ☑	only one
	ions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and nent, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	box for each	•
Does the owner emissions by:	operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi	ned	
<ol> <li>paving</li> <li>application</li> <li>control en</li> </ol>	of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?tion of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to hissions?	X Yes	<ul><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li></ul>
owner/ope particulate 4) reducti	erator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne amatter?on of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of amatter from stock piles?	_	<ul><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li></ul>
b. Use of spray	bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No
a. Did the inspe b. If tested: (	ecautions <u>not</u> being taken: ector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? )% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? the problem(s) (if known)?		□ No □ No

### **Facility Section (continued)**

	<u> </u>		
<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	•
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:  a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?  b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?  c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	☐ Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No
2.	Does this facility include:  a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propagation.	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Ane/yr < 1.00	☐ No
4.	275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propant. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumfor each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	ption	□ No
CI	ENIED AL CONDUCTIONS		
GI	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	<del></del>	□ No
3	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?	- X Yes	
٦.	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:  1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both s	stationary and relocatable	(check ☑ box for each	•
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (I		g question 2.)	)
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)		- Yes	☐ No
<ul> <li>a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or I</li> <li>e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day</li> <li>b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notific</li> </ul>	prior to changing location?		☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busine c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifica	ess days following a relocation?	Yes	☐ No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five			☐ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate a and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purl If YES, what was the purpose?	in that separate permit: pose (i.e, there is no repeated usage		☐ No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it co-located at the permitted facility?			☐ No ☐ No
CHANGES			_
Administrative Changes:		(check <b>☑</b> box for each	
Administrative Unanges:			1
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of tassociated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admir.</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days. New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> </ol>	n of the facility or any emissions un nistrative change at the facility?	its or - Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of tassociated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admits.</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days.</li> </ol>	n of the facility or any emissions un nistrative change at the facility? of the change?	its or -	
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of tassociated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admit 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days. New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?</li> <li>c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is subst</li> </ol>	n of the facility or any emissions un nistrative change at the facility? of the change?	its or -	No No No No No
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admit 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days and New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?</li></ol>	n of the facility or any emissions un nistrative change at the facility? of the change?	its or - Yes - Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No No No No No
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admined.</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?</li></ol>	n of the facility or any emissions un nistrative change at the facility? of the change?	its or - Yes - Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No No No No No
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admit 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days and New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?</li></ol>	an of the facility or any emissions un nistrative change at the facility? of the change?	its or - Yes - Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No No No No No No
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admit 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days and New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?</li></ol>	an of the facility or any emissions un nistrative change at the facility? of the change?	its or -	No No No No No No